
LISTENING 1 (10 mks)			
Part One			
1.	<input checked="" type="radio"/> complete switch off digital things	<input type="radio"/> using a lot of digital devices	<input type="radio"/> enjoy playing with digital things.
2.	<input type="radio"/> unusual	<input checked="" type="radio"/> popular	<input type="radio"/> wonderful
3.	<input checked="" type="radio"/> magazine	<input type="radio"/> website	<input type="radio"/> newspaper
4.	<input checked="" type="radio"/> fashion	<input type="radio"/> personal	<input type="radio"/> business
5.	<input type="radio"/> 10 hours	<input checked="" type="radio"/> 12 hours	<input type="radio"/> 11 hours
6.	<input type="radio"/> Twitter	<input type="radio"/> Instagram	<input checked="" type="radio"/> a book
Part Two			
	True		False
7.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>		<input type="radio"/>
8.	<input type="radio"/>		<input checked="" type="radio"/>
9.	<input type="radio"/>		<input checked="" type="radio"/>
10.	<input checked="" type="radio"/>		<input type="radio"/>
<p><u>Notes:</u> One mark each. Responses must be indicated <u>clearly</u>.</p>			

LISTENING 2 (10 mks)

Part One

11. 1889
12. over 40 years
13. its flexible construction
14. restaurants and observation decks
15. (it would) spoil the city's beauty
16. unique design

Part Two

17. broadcast
18. the cables
19. tourist
20. lit up

Notes: One mark each.

Qs 11-16: (i) Notes: 1) **Grammatical mistakes** (e.g. etc): These should be ignored, as long as it is clear that the substance of the answer is correct.

2) **Genuine spelling mistakes** — i.e. when the student obviously knew what the word was and understood what it meant, but could not spell it correctly — should also be ignored, as long as **ALL** of the following criteria are met:

(a) Certain key letters **must** be included: No. : No. :

(b) In cases where letters are 'optional', the mis-spelling must be **close to** the correct spelling. (e.g. accept but not)

(c) Above all, the mis-spelt word(s) must be **clearly and convincingly recognisable** as a correct answer to the question.

As stated in the instructions, answers should consist of not more than four words. (Note: When counting the words, do not include any words provided by the exam-writers.) Longer answers will normally be marked wrong. **HOWEVER**, if a student has written one (or even two) extra words and the answer is convincing and clearly correct, common sense should be applied and marks awarded, on a case-by-case basis.

READING 1 (10 mks)

Task One

1. (on) 5th February, 2023
2. 3 / Three
3. the third one / 3rd malfunction / phone shut down suddenly(completely)
4. Two / 2 times / twice
5. loss of his data
6. 5 / five business (working) days

Task Two

7. second
8. e-mail
9. money
10. shipping costs

Notes: One mark each. Responses must be indicated clearly.

1) **Grammatical mistakes** should be ignored.

2) Complete accuracy in **spelling** is not required, but any mis-spelt word(s) must be **clearly and convincingly recognisable** as a correct answer to the question.

3) As stated in the instructions, answers should be short.

(longer answers will normally be marked wrong, especially if they are simply copied from the text. **HOWEVER**, if a student has written one (or even two) extra words and the answer is convincing and clearly correct, common sense should be applied and marks awarded, on a case-by-case basis.

Responses must be indicated clearly.

READING 2 (10 mks)

Task One

11. mountainous coastal isolated
12. friend's injury long distance Route's condition
13. fair warm cold
14. slow fast careful
15. stopped increased decreased
16. Anita Sara Rita

Task Two

17. G
18. A
19. C
20. E

Notes: One mark each. Responses must be indicated clearly.

WRITING (GENERAL NOTES)

- *The **wording of the descriptors** in the relevant Rating Scale should form the basis of all decisions (and discussions) on the marks to be awarded.*
- *There may be different individual ways of approaching a task or interpreting a picture (or set of pictures), but a basic requirement for all answers is that they are **relevant**.*
- *If answers are **clearly not relevant** and the student has clearly not attempted the task that was set, **no marks** should be awarded.*
- *However, if a student has **genuinely attempted the task**, but their answer is only **partly relevant**, then a **reduced** mark (not zero) should be awarded.*
- *If markers are in any doubt, they should consult with other markers and with the Table Head.*

WRITING1 (10 mks)

10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Presents relevant information clearly and in an interesting way. _ Very good use of details and examples. – Writing is well-organised, clear and coherent. – A varied range of grammar and vocabulary with a very good level of accuracy.
8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Presents relevant information with reasonable success. _ Good use of details and examples. – Writing is generally organised, and for the most part clear and coherent. – A fair range of grammar and vocabulary with a good level of accuracy.
6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Manages to present relevant information, but only in a somewhat limited way. -Minimal use of details and examples. - Writing is poorly organised but are still reasonably clear. – A limited range of grammar and vocabulary with a reasonable level of accuracy.
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Attempts to present information, but the results are obviously inadequate. _ Poor use of details and examples. – Writing lacks organization, lacking on coherence and not clear. – A very limited range of grammar and vocabulary with frequent errors.
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Presents very little relevant information indeed. -No use of details and examples. –Writing is incoherent and confusing – Extremely limited range of grammar and vocabulary with frequent serious errors.
0	<p><u>No attempt at the task</u>: <u>EITHER</u> Irrelevant (Completely unrelated to the task/ instructions) <u>OR</u> Just copied from the Q-paper <u>OR</u> Hardly any writing at all, or not written in English <u>OR</u> Complete nonsense</p>

WRITING 2 (10 mks)	
10	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Expresses opinions on topics in a lively, convincing way. – Supports all points effectively with relevant evidence and detail. – Essays are very well-organised, clear and coherent. – A varied range of grammar and vocabulary with a very good level of accuracy.
8	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Expresses opinions on topics in a reasonably convincing way. – Supports most points with relevant evidence and detail. – Essays are generally well-organised and, for the most part, clear and coherent. – A fair range of grammar and vocabulary with a good level of accuracy
6	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – expresses opinions on topics, in a somewhat limited way. – Is inconsistent in supporting points with relevant evidence and detail. – Essays are poorly- organised, but are still reasonably clear and coherent. – A limited range of grammar and vocabulary with a reasonable level of accuracy
4	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Express opinions on topics, but the results are clearly inadequate. – Is generally weak in supporting points with relevant evidence. – Essays lack organization, lacking in coherence and sometimes unclear. – A very limited range of grammar and vocabulary with frequent errors.
2	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> – Makes only very feeble attempts to express opinions on topics. – Fails to support points with any relevant evidence. – Essays are incoherent and confusing. – Extremely limited range of grammar and vocabulary with frequent serious errors.
0	<p><u>No attempt at the task</u>: <u>EITHER</u> Irrelevant (Completely unrelated to the topic) <u>OR</u> Hardly any writing at all, or not written in English <u>OR</u> Complete nonsense</p>

ARRIVING AT FINAL SCORES

LST/ RDG: In these two sections, all student responses are of the objectively-marked, right-or-wrong type. So, there should never be any discrepancies in the marks awarded.

Action required: With 'short answer' items, there may be (accidental) discrepancies in the scores awarded by the two markers. The Supervisor/Adjudicator should be on the lookout for any such discrepancies, and if they occur, should read the students' response and award the correct mark.

WRITING: In this section, student responses are independently marked by two markers using their judgement (based on the wording of the Rating Scale provided). As a result, differences may sometimes occur between the two scores awarded.

In such cases, there are two possible procedures:

- 1) Acceptable differences: If – as in most cases – the difference between the two scores is small, i.e. just **one level**, the Supervisor/Adjudicator is not required to read the student's response. He/She should simply use the mathematical average of the two scores. (*See *below*)
- 2) Unacceptable differences: However, if the difference between the two scores is substantial, i.e. **more than one level**, the Supervisor/Adjudicator should read the student's response and, after due consideration, decide on an appropriate mark.

CALCULATION OF AVERAGES:

WRT 1		WRT 2	
Pair of scores	Final score	Pair of scores	Final score
10 / 8	9	10 / 8	9
8 / 6	7	8 / 6	7
6 / 4	5	6 / 4	5
4 / 2	3	4 / 2	3
2 / 0	1	2 / 0	1

IMPORTANT NOTE: As in previous years, **individual** markers should **only** award the marks specified in the relevant Rating Scale* (as in 'pair of scores' above). 'In-between marks' (as in 'final score' above) can **only** be awarded when two (differing) individual marks are combined by the Supervisor/ Adjudicator. [* Note: This means that, even though the computer screen shows all the possible final scores, **individual** markers should **only** use those scores which are mentioned in the scale.]